



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/526,550

10/14/2005

Koji Tamai

038788.55987US

9222

23911 7590 12/21/2007  
CROWELL & MORING LLP  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP  
P.O. BOX 14300  
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300

EXAMINER

ROBINSON, LAUREN E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

4174

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/21/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/526,550	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TAMAI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> LAUREN E.T. ROBINSON	<b>Art Unit</b> 4174	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LAUREN E.T. ROBINSON. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joseph Evans. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-9.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent No. 6295842.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A telephonic interview was initiated by the examiner in reference to a lack of unity restriction requirement between the process of claims 1-4, the article of claims 5-6 and the apparatus of claims 7-9. The applicants' representative chose the proces of claims 1-4 with traverse and therefore, claims 5-9 are withdrawn from consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lauren E.T. Robinson/  
Examiner, Art Unit 4174

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required